Item #	Section	Page #	Comment	Author	Modernization Partner Response	Status
1	Statement of Purpose	1	"Assessing business requirements which might best be supported by a data mart and developing <u>an high</u> level design for the data mart." It should be, "Assessing business requirements which might best be supported by a data mart and developing <u>a high</u> level design for the data mart"	Partner Systems Liaison	Change will be applied as suggested.	Updated
2	Overview	3	Document Management: The team determination referenced here holds for a "document management system", but not an imaging system.	eCAD	The team did determined that imaging was an appropriate method for getting paper documents (which do not already have corresponding electronic versions) into an electronic format so that they may then be managed by the document management system.	Updated
3	Data Warehouse Section	4	Data Warehouse (General comment):This discussion is bigger than the FP Data Mart; The connection/relationship between FP Data Mart and other Marts/segments of the warehouse is not totally clear.		The Data Warehouse section of the document was updated to use the terminology of Data Mart when referring to what the FP Channel will implement in support of the Risk Modeling functionality. When the term Data Warehouse is used in this document it will refer to the Enterprise Wide implementation of a Data Warehouse. The relationships between various data marts belongs in a document that discusses the Enterprise Wide data warehouse strategy and not a document that identifies requirements for a FP Channel specific data mart.	Changed
4	Data Warehouse - Business Context Overview	6	I like the three statements listed under "Partner Systems Liaison is responsible for providing contract management-related activities" Are they consistent with PSL's charter?	Partner Systems Liaison	In a followup discussion with Anna on 9/19/00, the decision was to leave the document as is.	No Change

- Changes Matrix -

Item #	Section	Page #	Comment	Author	Modernization Partner Response	Status
5	Data Warehouse	8	Under Risk Modeling initiative should the system	Partner Systems	Various systems will be listed.	Updated
	- Business		be listed?	Liaison		
	Context Scope					
6	Data Warehouse		Since the FP has no responsibility for the DMCS	v	DMCS was mentioned only for	No
	- Current		system is it necessary to mention it at all?	Liaison	completeness regarding the FFEL system	Change
	Environment				in the event that someone outside the FP	
					Channel reads the report. Detail about	
					DMCS is not contained within the report	
					since it is not the responsibility of the FP	
					Channel.	
7	Data Warehouse	14	LEAP makes federal funds available to <u>sates</u> to	Partner Systems	Change will be applied as suggested.	Changed
	- Current		encourage It should be "LEAP makes federal	Liaison		
	Environment		funds available to <u>states</u> to encourage"			
	D + W 1	14 15 10		CAD	N/	N.T.
8			Data Warehouse (General comment): It is not clear,	eCAD	Most of the major systems will not be	No
	- Current		in these discussions, how modernization will affect		"affected" by the FP Data Mart except that	Change
	Environment		these "current environments"; nor does the		information will need to be extracted from	
	and		following "improvement opportunities" sections		them. The FP Data Mart is expected to	
	Improvement		help clarify the issue.		replace some spreadsheets and to provide	
	Opportunities				more centralized and better information to	
					support the risk modeling business	
					function.	
9	Data Warehouse	15	First bullet says "A DBASE system uses data from	Partner Services	Change will be applied as suggested.	Changed
	- Current		PEPS " It should be "A DBASE system uses data			
	Environment		from the FFEL system"			

- C	hanges	Matrix	-
------------	--------	--------	---

Item #	Section	Page #	Comment	Author	Modernization Partner Response	Status
10	Data Warehouse	15	Will the existing dBase functionality that is	Partner Systems	Whether or not the PEPS system will be	No
	- Current Environment		currently not supported by PEPS ever be supported?	Liaison	changed to support the functionality contained within the dBASE system was beyond the scope of this project. However, information that was contained within the dBASE system that supports the risk modeling business function, as identified within the report from the Risk Modeling team, will be incorporated into the FP Data Mart.	Change
11	Data Warehouse - Current Environment	15	The word "DBASE" is used a twice. I suggest using the term "DBMS" (data base management system) or "database" to avoid confusion with the brand name dBase, unless it is appropriate.	Partner Systems Liaison	The system is really an application that was built on the dBase database management system. Capitalization will be changed to better denote the brand name.	Updated
12	Data Warehouse - Functional Requirements	22	Should all active PSL members be listed here?	Partner Systems Liaison	Update the document to say that the listed personnel were subject matter expert "liaisons" so that each individual that provided information would not need to be listed.	Updated
13		29, 30, 31,	Diagrams: It appears that FP functions only puts information into NSLDS and extracts nothing; If that is, in fact, the case, these charts are too busy and repetitive to make that simple statement. (There is also an inordinate amount of detail for a conceptual model in the tables that follow; i.e. pages 28 through 33).	eCAD	For consistency, these diagrams are very similar to the diagrams that were produced by a CIO team when documenting the CFO data model. It was anticipated that there would be questions regarding these diagrams so a meeting was conducted with the data warehouse team members to explain the diagrams before the report was distributed. Anyone who would like an explanation of the diagrams may contact Nancy Krecklow at 202-651-3678.	No Change

-	Changes	Matrix -
---	---------	----------

Item #	Section	Page #	Comment	Author	Modernization Partner Response	Status
14	Data Warehouse	34	"Data Warehouse Technical Requirements -		Referential Integrity is very important in	No
	- Technical Requirements		Overall", I think we may take Referential Integrity (RI) into consideration as part of the technical	IT Management	database systems. However, it relates to a	Change
	Requirements		requirement. RI is a mechanism used in the Legacy		lower level of detail than the purpose of this report. It will need to be considered	
			Systems relational databases to enforce		as the project moves into the detail design	
			relationships between data in different tables. RI		and implementation phases.	
			enforces business rules of FP channel data mart			
			initiatives as fact tables and dimension tables are			
15	Data Warehouse	35	being implemented. Platform and Tools: These standards are still	eCAD	The deliverable will be undeted to identify	Undated
13	- Technical	33	evolving; consult with Enterprise IT Management.	eCAD	The deliverable will be updated to identify these platforms and tools as the initially	Updated
	Requirements		evolving, consult with Enterprise II wantagement.		recommended platform and tools but that	
	1				additional discussions are underway to	
					determine a final set of platforms and	
					tools.	
16	Data Warehouse	38	"Data Warehouse Implementation Strategy - Next	CIO - Enterprise	Security to a system is very important to	No
	-		Steps", I think we may want to add Role-based		any system. It needs to be based upon the	Change
	Implementation		security - What privileges can be given, based on		functions available from the system or the	O
	Strategy		the functional responsibilities of the person(s)		roles of the system users. The security	
			registered in that role.		approach is just one of the items that will	
					need to be finalized during the Build and	
					Test phase of the FP Data Mart.	
17	Data Wanahaysa	20	"Assign on Data Mont oxymen". It should be	Dantnan Cristones	Change will be applied as suggested	Changad
17	Data warenouse	30	I =		Change will be applied as suggested.	Changed
	Implementation		1 100 gr u 2 die 1 mart 0 miles.	Liuison		
17	Data Warehouse - Implementation	38	"Assign <u>an Data Mart owner"</u> . It should be, "Assign <u>a Data</u> Mart owner.	Partner Systems Liaison	Change will be applied as suggested.	Cl

-	Changes	Matrix	-
---	---------	---------------	---

Item #	Section	Page #	Comment	Author	Modernization Partner Response	Status
18	Document Management - Improvement Opportunities	45	Document Management: "Imaging" is introduced here as a prefix to "Document Management System" with no supporting preface.	eCAD	"Imaging" as used by the team was the method of making an electronic version of the paper-based documents for which no electronic version currently existed. Other characteristics related to "imaging" such as Optical Character Recognition (OCR) were not required by the Channel. Page 3 of the document was also updated to reflect the use of imaging as it relates to document management. The "/" between "imaging/document management" was changed to an "and" to agree with other references to this system.	Changed
19	Document Management - Improvement Opportunities	47	Best practices. First column first row of table. Reference is made to Knowledge Management tool. Seems like a switch in terminology. We did not actually get definitions for "knowledge" management, which I think is more than just "document" management. Are these terms being used interchangeably?	eCAD	The document will be updated to "document" management.	Changed

Student Financial Assistance (SFA) Supporting Technologies Requirements Matrix - Changes Matrix -

Item #	Section	Page #	Comment	Author	Modernization Partner Response	Status
20	Document	49	Document Management: (Third bullet). Imaging, or	eCAD	In discussions with eCAD team members	Changed
	Management -		an Imaging System, is not the only, and probably		on Wednesday, Sept 13th, it was further	
	Functional		not the best, solution for the problem stated, and		explained that "Imaging" for the FP	
	Requirements		nowhere else in this document is there justification		Channel was the method of making an	
			for implementing an FP Imaging system.		electronic version of the paper-based	
					documents for which no electronic version	
					currently existed. Other characteristics	
					related to "imaging" such as Optical	
					Character Recognition (OCR) were not	
					required by the Channel. Requirements	
					and volume of documents from the FP	
					Channel do not warrant their own	
					imaging system. Instead the FP Channel	
					wants to use the imaging capability that	
					might be established at the Enterprise-	
					wide level.	
21	Document	50	6th bullet - Summary Requirement. Legal	Partner Services	"Promissory notes" will be changed to	Changed
	Management -		Implications - Change "promissory notes" to		"Contracts".	
	Functional		something like "Contracts" or "Agreement between			
	Requirements		ED and Guaranty Agency". As far as I know,			
			Financial Partner Channel does not own any			
			original promissory notes and would not need to			
			view or retrieve originals. If referring to enterprise			
			wide- Students Channel, Debt management may			
			need them.			
22	Document	52	Document Management: (Conceptual Model).This	eCAD	Change to the diagram will be applied as	Changed
	Management -		diagram needs some minor adjustments: Remove		suggested.	
	Conceptual		the arrow that goes from "Capture" to "Services",			
	Model		and incorporate an arrow that goes from User			
			access through Net/LAN and Editing back to			
			Personal Computers (cyclical workflow).			

- Changes Matrix -

Item #	Section	Page #	Comment	Author	Modernization Partner Response	Status
23	Document Management - Technical Requirements	53	Document Management: (Last bullet) Change "Reliability" to "Availability".	eCAD	Change will be applied as suggested.	Changed
24	Document Management - Implementation Strategy	57	Document Management: (Lead Paragraph). I'm not aware that CIO (specifically Enterprise IT Management) has identified an Enterprise "E- Document Management System".	eCAD	Will update the deliverable to mention that the CIO may want to consider looking at an imaging and document management system at the enterprise wide level since this functionality is needed by multiple channels, and implementing a common approach may be more beneficial to SFA than having disparate approaches.	Changed
25	Document Management - Implementation Strategy	58	Next Steps Under first bullet 2nd sub bullet. Typo? - "A contact person from each region and from director's area" should this be possessive plural, referring to the five directors' areas under the GM? If not, which Director are we referring to? Under 2nd bullet, 2nd sub bullet We really should solicit solutions and recommendation for next steps from a greater group of FPC staff, especially representatives from other regional offices. But as you know the October conference is postponed. We will probably still address at the conference in January, (Feb or March). For the matrix I recommend that we change it to something like: Outreach via direct communication (telephone or face to face) to solicit feedback and recommendations from other staff in FPC units. This would supplement "intranet" communication. Under 3rd bullet, 3rd sub bullet A word appears to be missing. Add 'when". "Identifymay be imaged when a standard imaging system is identified and installed.		For the first bullet 2nd sub bullet - added "each" before "director's" - it is intended that each of the 5 directors will have a representative. However, since there is so much paperwork in the regions, each region needs its own representative. For the 2nd bullet, 2nd sub bullet - wording was changed to "Outreach FPC units" as suggested. For the 3rd bullet, 3rd sub bullet, the word "when" was added as suggested.	Changed

- Changes Matrix -

Item #		Page #	Comment	Author	Modernization Partner Response	Status
26	Common Third	67, 68, 69	Common Third Party Interfacing. This chart is too	eCAD	The chart was updated to remove some	Changed
	Party		busy and too repetitious to add much value.		redundancy when the initiating and	
	Interfacing -				receiving systems were the same.	
	Functional					
	Requirements					
27	Appendix	73	There is no Appendix.	eCAD	The appendix was a separate file. It is an	No
					Excel spreadsheet that lists all of the	Change
					individual documents that are planned to	
					be included in Phase 1 of the document	
					management system. This final version of	
					this file did not get distributed with the	
					report, but was later sent to all team	
					members.	